I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop 16, Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

July 30, 2007 Date Mailed Elizabeth J. Martinez

nez Yloalith Signatur

07-30-07

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Zosel et al.

Serial No.:

10/052,677

Filed:

January 18, 2002

For:

**CAMERA POSITIONING** 

AND CONFIRMATION FEEDBACK SYSTEM

Atty. Docket No.:

005557.P006

Examiner:

Allyson N. Trail

Art Unit:

2876

Mail Stop 16 Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## REQUEST FOR REFUND (37 C.F.R. § 1.26)

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.26(a), Applicants respectfully request a refund of fees mistakenly charged by the U.S. Patent Office in the above-noted patent application. The facts, reasons why a refund in proper, and deposit instructions for the refund are set forth below.

#### **Facts**

- 1. The Examiner for the above-noted patent application mailed a final Office Action to Applicants on August 9, 2005. The three-month shortened statutory period for response therefore expired on November 9, 2005.
- 2. The two-month period for response to the final Office Action under MPEP § 706.07(f) was nominally October 9, 2005. However, October 9, 2005, was a Sunday and October 10, 2005, was a federal holiday (Columbus Day) within the District of Columbia. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.7 and MPEP § 706.07(f), a response filed as late as October 11, 2005, would therefore be considered timely filed by the two-month deadline

-1-

3. Applicants timely filed a response to the final Office Action on October 11, 2005.

P006 - Request for Refund BSTZ Seattle

- 4. In response to the Applicants' submission of October 11, 2005, the Examiner mailed an Advisory Action on December 13, 2005.
- 5. In response to the Advisory Action, Applicants filed a Notice of Appeal on January 13, 2006. The Notice of Appeal included a petition for a one-month extension of the period for reply, along with a check for the requisite fee. The Notice of Appeal also included a return postcard itemizing all items filed with the Notice of Appeal, including the petition and check.
- 6. Applicants' legal representative received the return postcard with an OIPE stamp, indicating that all items listed on the postcard were received by the USPTO. Copies of the return postcard and the check are attached.
- 7. On January 28, 2006, the U.S. Patent Office charged the deposit account of Applicants' legal representative for <u>both</u> a two-month extension of the period for reply and a three-month extension of the period for reply.
- 8. The total amount charged to the deposit account of Applicants' legal representative was USD\$1,020.

### Reasons for Refund

Pursuant to MPEP § 706.07(f) and form paragraph 7.39, and as noted in the final Office Action mailed August 9, 2005, the rule for replies to a final Office Action is as follows:

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

In this case, Applicants timely filed a response to the Office Action within two months of the mailing date of the final Office Action. The Examiner, however, did not mail the Advisory Action until December 13, 2005—more than a month after the end of the three-month shortened

statutory period. Pursuant to the above rule, the Examiner's delay means that the time period for extensions is computed from the mailing date of the Advisory Action.

Applicants filed a Notice of Appeal with a petition for a one-month extension of time and a check for the required fee on January 13, 2006—exactly one month after the mailing date of the Advisory Action. In view of the above rule the one-month extension requested by Applicants was all that was required, and the USPTO should not have charged the deposit account for either a two-month extension or a three-month extension.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request a refund in the amount of USD\$1,020 for the extension fees mistakenly charged to the deposit account of Applicants' legal representative.

### **Deposit Instructions**

Please deposit the requested refund in our Deposit Account No. 02-2666. Kindly include a reference to the above-noted application so that we can properly account for the refund.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Todd M. Becker

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 43,487

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP 1279 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, California 94085 Phone: 206-292-8600

Facsimile: 206-292-8606

Enclosure: Copy of return postcard from Notice of Appeal

Copy of Check #0223

FEEW WAAR	TMR/or
STZ File No.: 555% P006.	Atty/Secty initials: TRB/av Docket Due Date: January 13, 2006
ate Mailed January 13, 2006	Docket Due Date:
	nt & Trademark Office on the date stamped hereon:
2 . Harmonia inchance (marine 60.)	Check No. 0223.  Check No. 0223.  Check No. 0223.  Amt: \$620.0
Appeal Brief ( pgs.) (in triplicate)	
and the second s	☐ Information Dischoure Statement & PTO-1449 (pgs.) ☐ Check No
Application - Rule 1.53(b) Continuation (pgs.)	District Fee Transmittal
Application - Rule 1.53(b) Divisional ( pgs.)	Notice of Appeal - in duplicate
J. Application - Rule 1.53(b) CIP (pgs.)	Perition for Extension of Time - in duplicate
Application - Rule 1.53(d) CPA Transmittal ( pgs.)	D Petition for
Application - Design (pgs.);	Postcard
Application - PCT ( pgs.)	Power of Attorney ( pgs.) /
Application - Provisional (pgs.)	Preliminary Amendment (pgs.) JAN 1 2 2000 8
Assignment and Cover Sheet	Reply Brief (pgs.)
Certificate of Mailing	Response to Notice of Missing Parts
Declaration & POA (pgs.)	Small Entry Declaration for Indep. Inventor to Business  Tensonitral Letter, in dualicate
Disclosure Docs & Orig & Copy of Inventor's Signed Letter (pgs.)	Transmittal Letter, in duplicate
Drawings:# of sheets includes figures	Fee Transmittal, in duplicate

N 8

٠. •

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLUR & ZAFMAN, LLP TWO UNION SQUARE

TWO UNION SQUARE 801 UNION STREET, SUITE 3000 SEATTLE, WA 98101-1365 (208) 282-8600 California Bank & Truck 18U ntura Bird. El JA 91436

0223

18-339/1220 380

January 13, 2006

PAY TO THE ORDER OF

Director of the USPTO

\*\*620.00\*\*

\*\*Six hundred and twenty dollars and no/100\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

DOLLARS

FOR

5557.P006

#000223# #1822003396# 380012384#

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

0223

Amount

\$620.00

Date

January 13, 2006

Description

USPTO fee for a Notice of Appeal and 1-month Extension of Time

CAMERA POSITIONING AND CONFIRMATION FEEDBACK SYSTEM

Filed: January 18, 2002 Ser. No.: 10/052,677

Inventors: Zosel et al.

5557.P006 TMB/av

Microscan Systems Incorporated

BLAKELY, SCKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAPMAN, LLP

0223

United States Patent and Trademark Office
- Sales Receipt -

01/26/2006 TOKON1 00000002 022666 10052677 Sale Ref: 00000002 DA#: 022666 10052677

01 FC:1252 330.00 DA

Adjustment date: 08/17/2007 SDIRETA1 01/26/2006 TOKON1 00000002 022666 10052677 01 FC:1252 330.00 CR

United States Patent and Trademark Office
- Sales Receipt -

01/26/2006 TOKON1 00000003 022666 10052677 Sale Ref: 00000003 DA#: 022666 10052677 01 FC:1253 690.00 DA

Adjustment Date: 08/17/2007 SDIRETA1 01/26/2006 TOKON1 00000003 022666 10052677 690.00 CR